

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/023,455	OHNISHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743

**All Participants:**

(1) Jan M. Ludlow.

**Status of Application:** Finally Rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tim Evans.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*all*

**Claims discussed:**

*all*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*all*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JAN LUDLOW  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the amendment filed 9/6/2005 would be entered, the amendment and arguments overcoming the rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph, but that the art rejections would be maintained and an advisory action forthcoming..